

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action

CR-24-0192-PR

JOSHUA JOEL JACOBSEN v HON. BERESKY/STATE

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 30-Jul-2024
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. JOSHUA JOEL JACOBSEN, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) JOSHUA JOEL JACOBSEN

- Joshua Joel Jacobsen

Attorneys for: Petitioner

Robert J Campos, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 11817)

Side 2. HON. JUSTIN BERESKY, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa, Respondent Judge

(Litigant Group) HON. JUSTIN BERESKY, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa

- Hon Justin Beresky, Maricopa County Superior Court

Side 3. STATE OF ARIZONA ex rel. KENT VOLKMER, Pinal County Attorney, and KRISTIN K. MAYES, Arizona Attorney General, Real Party in Interest

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA ex rel. KENT VOLKMER, Pinal County Attorney, and KRISTIN K. MAYES, Arizona Attorney General

- State of Arizona
- Kent P Volkmer, Pinal County Attorney's Office
- Kristin K Mayes, Arizona Attorney General's Office

Attorneys for: Real Party in Interest

Lauren E Deakin, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 29788)

Marjorie S Becklund, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 11170)

Jamie Balson, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28587)

CASE STATUS

Jul 30, 2024.....Pending

| PREDECESSOR CASE(S) | Cause/Charge/Class | Judgment/Sentence | Judge, Role <Comments> | Trial | Dispo |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|-------|-------|
| 1 CA | 1 CA-SA 24-0066 | | | | |
| ↔ MAR | CR2006-156684-001 | | Justin Beresky, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none) | | |

9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 30-Jul-2024 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)
- 30-Jul-2024 FILED: Certificate of Compliance; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)
- 1-Aug-2024 Petitioner Jacobsen filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on July 30, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by August 8, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 5-Aug-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order Request for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)
- 5-Aug-2024 FILED: Certificate of Compliance; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)
- 6-Aug-2024 A "Motion for Procedural Order Request for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" (Petitioner Jacobsen) having been filed on August 5, 2024,

IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review on or before September 9, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by September 9, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 9-Sep-2024 FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Petitioner Jacobsen)
- 9-Sep-2024 FILED: Appendix; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Jacobsen)
- 11-Sep-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action

CR-24-0192-PR

JOSHUA JOEL JACOBSEN v HON. BERESKY/STATE

9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES